



## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANT:	ROBERT JONATHON CARR )	
SERIAL NO:	10/046,957	·
FILED:	January 14, 2002	
FOR:	AIRCRAFT INTERNAL WING ) AND DESIGN )	RECEIVED DEC 0 4 2003
GROUP ART UNIT: 3641 )		GROUP 3600
<b>EXAMINER:</b>	LULIT SEMUNEGUS )	

## RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

**Assistant Commissioner for Patents** 

Washington, DC 20231

Dear Sir:

The Office Action dated July 31, 2003 wherein the Examiner has set forth a restriction requirement has been fully considered by the Applicant.

It is believed that the Examiner could consider all of the claims of the application at one time and, therefore, that the restriction requirement is not necessary. Nevertheless, Applicant elects with traverse Group I that is directed to claims 1-13 drawn to an aircraft structure with a pair of coanda in the instant application. Applicant reserves the right to file a divisional application on the Group II set of claims drawn to claim 14.

Respectfully submitted,

DOERNER, SAUNDERS, DANIEL & ANDERSON

Chad M. Hinrichs, Keg. No. 45,836

320 South Boston, Suite 500

Tulsa, Oklahoma 74103

(918) 582.1211

Attorney for Applicant

## NOV 2 4 2003 55

## **Certificate of Mailing**

I hereby certify that the attached Response to Office Action is being deposited with the

United States Postal Service, first class, with sufficient postage in an envelope addressed to:

BOX FEE AMENDMENT Commissioner of Patents Post Office Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

On the 19th day of November, 2003.

RHONDA LEEDS

Misterf Studia Perdial Collection fortidatas well artificion postago la da anvaloca activismed to:

Thereby comby that the artached Response to Office Action is boing exported.

Translituit Committee

RECEIVED DEC 0 4 2003

GROUP 3600